PRESENTERS



Paul Collins, Barrister, Shortland Chambers, Auckland

Paul has wide experience in areas of professional discipline and regulation in the legal profession. He has prosecuted numerous cases in the Disciplinary Tribunal and has appeared in the Senior Courts in cases involving discipline and regulation in the legal profession. Paul was a convenor of the National Standards Committee until his nine year tenure expired and he continues to be involved in litigation and advisory work in relation to complaints and discipline. He is a contributing author to *Professional Responsibility in New Zealand* (LexisNexis) and was consulting editor for *The Laws of New Zealand: Lawyers and Conveyancers*.



Grant Illingworth QC, Barrister, Auckland

Grant has a Masters of Laws degree (Hons) from Auckland University and won the Fowlds Memorial Prize during his study for that degree. He has conducted his own practice as a barrister since 1975 and was admitted to the inner bar in 2003. Grant has regularly appeared as counsel in all the senior courts of New Zealand, including the Supreme Court and (previously) the Privy Council. Particular areas of specialist expertise are:

- Public law, including constitutional law, administrative law, extradition law, judicial review and rights of appeal;
- Tribunal proceedings, including disciplinary proceedings for the medical, legal and accountancy professions;
- Civil litigation, including negligence claims, contract disputes, land law and commercial litigation;
- The law relating to trusts, equitable interests and relationship property; and
- Dispute resolution, including arbitration and mediation.

The statements and conclusions contained in this presentation are those of the author(s) only and not those of the New Zealand Law Society. This presentation has been prepared for the purpose of a Continuing Legal Education course. It is not intended to be a comprehensive statement of the law or practice, and should not be relied upon as such. If advice on the law is required, it should be sought on a formal, professional basis.

CONTENTS

| | DVOCACY ETHICS AND CONDUCT: LEARNING THE ROPES AND AVOIDING THE | 1 |
|----|--|----|
| P. | TFALLS | |
| | ORDER IN THE COURT | 1 |
| | FUNDAMENTAL OBLIGATIONS | 3 |
| | THE RULE OF LAW AND THE ADMINISTRATION OF JUSTICE | 4 |
| | INDEPENDENCE IN ADVOCACY | 8 |
| | DUTIES OWED TO THE CLIENT | 10 |
| | ALLEGING WRONGFUL CONDUCT | 11 |
| | DISCOVERY OF DOCUMENTS | 14 |
| | DEALINGS WITH WITNESSES | 15 |
| | EXPERT WITNESSES | 15 |
| | PROSECUTORS AND DEFENCE COUNSEL | 16 |
| A | DVOCACY ETHICS UPDATE | 19 |
| | DISQUALIFYING CONFLICTS AND DEBARRING ORDERS – UPDATE | 19 |
| | Threats in aid of settlement – when does a threat become improper under R $2.7\mathrm{or}\ 2.10\mathrm{and}$ |) |
| | WHEN IS SETTLEMENT PRIVILEGE LOST? | 24 |
| | THE DUTY NOT TO MISLEAD THE COURT – THE PROBLEM OF HALF-TRUTHS – THE DUTY TO CORRECT | |
| | MISLEADING EVIDENCE | 25 |
| | ASPECTS OF THE DUTY OF COMPETENCE IN ADVOCACY – RESPONSIBLE LITIGATION STRATEGY – | |
| | RESPONSIBILITY WHEN APPLYING FOR RELIEF UNDER URGENCY OR WITHOUT NOTICE | 29 |
| | THE NEW CONDUCT AND CLIENT CARE RULES AND THEIR RELEVANCE IN ADVOCACY | 34 |
| P | OWERPOINTS | 37 |
| | | |